

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Barnett et al.

FILED VIA EFS ON March 22, 2007

Application No. 10/081,329

Filed: February 20, 2002

Confirmation No. 9799

For: CONFORMANCE EXECUTION OF NON-
DETERMINISTIC SPECIFICATIONS FOR
COMPONENTS

Examiner: Satish Rampuria

Art Unit: 2191

Attorney Reference No. 3382-61109-01

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. BOX 1450
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Responsive to the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance in the Notice of Allowability mailed February 22, 2007, the Applicants comment as follows.

Applicants agree that the cited prior art, taken singly or in combination, fails to teach the invention as claimed in the claims. Applicants respectfully note, however, that the language attributed by the Examiner to the indicated claims does not identically appear or appear at all in certain of the identified claims.

To the extent that the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance uses language not identically appearing in the claims, Applicants note that the claims are not limited by such language, but rather the actual language of each claim speaks for itself.

Respectfully submitted,

KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP

One World Trade Center, Suite 1600
121 S.W. Salmon Street
Portland, Oregon 97204
Telephone: (503) 595-5300
Facsimile: (503) 595-5301

By /Genie Lyons/
Genie Lyons
Registration No. 43,841